

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,618	10/788,618 02/27/2004		Farbod Aram	MP0401	6491
26703	7590	12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	•	Y & PIERCE P.L.	ZWEIZIG, JEFFERY SHAWN		
5445 CORP SUITE 400	ORATE D	RIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, MI	48098		2816		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M	<
7 \ 1	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Commencers	10/788,618	ARAM, FARBOD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey S. Zweizig	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 No. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11,19-21 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12-18 and 22-28 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to examine a specific spec	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/28/05.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Base claims 11 and 21 along with dependent claims 19, 20, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure, which is not enabling. Elements critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claims are not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claim 11 fails to connect the frequency boosting circuit and the current mirror circuit. As best understood, the disclosed invention relies on a feedback loop between the frequency boosting circuit and the current mirror circuit. It would appear that claim 11 requires at least the limitations of claim 12 to define a circuit properly functioning as disclosed. Likewise, it would appear that claim 21 requires at least the limitations of claim 22 to define a circuit properly functioning as disclosed. The disclosure does not support the circuit defined in claims 11 and 21. Claims 11 and 21 are not properly enabled.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant argues that claims 11 and 21 define a preferred embodiment. This "preferred embodiment" has no purpose or function remotely related to the spirit of the

Art Unit: 2816

disclosed invention. Applicant cannot "prefer" to delete features upon which the function of the invention relies.

Applicant further points to bits and pieces of the disclosure to support the claims, but these bits and pieces also do not form any meaningful circuit. The circuit defined in claims 11 and 12 simply does not do anything related to the spirit of the disclosed invention. No meaningful purpose or function has been defined and one of ordinary skill in the art could not infer any meaningful function or purpose from what has been defined.

In light of Applicant's arguments, the art rejection is withdrawn.

Conclusion

- 4. Claims 12-18 and 22-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but may be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/788,618

Art Unit: 2816

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeffrey S. Zweizig whose telephone number is (571)

272-1758. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Wednesday 6:00

am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on (571) 272-1740. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

//S. Zweizig

Page 4

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816

JΖ